UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

DK

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/021,660	02/10/98	BARON M	1877-110

HM12/0602

HARRIET M STRIMPEL
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP
125 SUMMER STREET
BOSTON MA 02110

EXAMINER	
KAUFMAN, C	
ART UNIT	**PAPER NUMBER**
1646	6

DATE MAILED: 06/02/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/021,660	Baron et al.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Claire M. Kaufman	1646	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *10 February 1998* .

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-56* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☒ Claims *1-56* are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.

12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:

1.☐ received.

2.☐ received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number) _____ .

3.☐ received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

Attachment(s)

14) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
15) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
16) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ .
17) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
18) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
19) ☒ Other: *Notice to Comply* .

DETAILED ACTION

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-305-3704. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Paula Hutzell, Supervisory Patent Examiner at Paula.Hutzell@uspto.gov or 703-308-4310. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

   I. Claims 1-26, drawn to method of stimulating undifferentiated mesoderm by introducing a compound into the cells, classification dependent on structure of compound, for example class 424, subclass 143.1

   II. Claims 27-30, drawn to method of treating a developmental error in utero by introducing a compound into a population of embryonic cells *in vivo*, classification dependent on structure of compound, for example class 512, subclass 12.

   III. Claims 31-37, drawn to method of treating a subject suffering from an abnormal number of erythroid cells by introducing a compound into a population of hematopoietic stem cells, classification dependent on structure of compound, for example class 424, subclass 183.1.

   IV. Claims 38-42, drawn to method of treating a subject suffering from ischemia by administering a compound to an ischemic site, classification dependent on structure of compound, for example class 512, subclass 8.

V. Claims 43, drawn to method of treating abnormally enhanced vascular growth by administering a hedgehog compound to a subject, classification dependent on structure of compound, for example class 512, subclass 2.

VI. Claims 44-51, drawn to an *in vitro* assay determining activity of a compound that modulates hematopoiesis or vascular growth, classified in class 435, subclass 7.

VII. Claims 52-56, drawn to assay determining activity of a compound that modulates hematopoiesis or vascular growth using a transgenic animal, classified in class 800, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-VI are related by virtue of using a compound that is functionally equivalent to a gene product expressed in extraembryonic tissue, which includes a hedgehog compound. However, the inventions are distinct because the compound used in each method does not have to be the same as that used in any other. Additionally, each method uses different target cells (undifferentiated mesoderm, embryonic cells *in vivo,* a population of hematopoietic stem cells, an ischemic site, a whole subject, and a population of cells from mammalian fertilized egg tissue deficient in blood formation) and necessarily different modes of administration. The inventions have different effects.

Inventions I-VI are unrelated to invention VII. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the inventions are different because the selection of a first transgenic animal and its subsequence mating to a second animal cannot be used to perform any of methods I-VI. Further, the inventions have different effects, with Invention V having an effect of inducing marker expression and creating a transgenic animal line.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the examples of their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for each Invention is not required any other, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. A telephone call was made to Harriet Strimpel on May 27, 1999 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Sequences

4. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleic and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth in the attached Notice to Comply with Requirements for Patent Applications Containing Nucleic Sequence and/or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures. In the current application, a CRF and paper copy to the Sequence Listing is required, but not none was submitted.

5. According to 37 CFR 1.821(d) (MPEP § 2422), where the description or claims of a patent application discuss a sequence listing that is set forth in the "Sequence Listing" in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section, reference must be made to the sequence by use of the assigned identifier, in the text of the description or claims, even if the sequence is also embedded in the text of the description or claims of the patent application. The description discusses sequences that must be in a Sequence Listing and assigned an identifier (*e.g.*, page 39).

Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Claire M. Kaufman, whose telephone number is (703) 305-5791. Dr. Kaufman can generally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Hutzell, can be reached at (703) 308-4310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. NOTE: If applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by the applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Claire M. Kafuman, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1646

June 1, 1999

RESTRICTION ELECTION FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE:

FROM/ATTORNEY:

FIRM:

PAGES, INCLUDING COVERSHEET:

PHONE NUMBER:

TO EXAMINER: **C. Kaufman**

ART UNIT: **1646**

SERIAL NUMBER:

FAX/TELECOPIER NUMBER: (703) 305-3704

PLEASE NOTE: THIS FACSIMILE NUMBER IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR RESPONSES TO RESTRICTIONS.

COMMENTS: _____

_____

_____

_____

IF YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED ALL THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CONTACT THE ATTORNEY AT THE TELEPHONE NUMBER LISTED ABOVE.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH 1096 OG 30, THE FILING DATE ACCORDED EACH OFFICIAL FAX TRANSMISSION WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE FAX MACHINE DATE STAMP FOUND ON THE LAST PAGE OF THE TRANSMISSION, UNLESS THAT DATE IS A SATURDAY, SUNDAY, OR FEDERAL HOLIDAY WITHIN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, IN WHICH CASE THE OFFICIAL DATE OF RECEIPT WILL BE THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY.

Application No.: 09/021,660

NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES

The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s):

- [X] 1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to these regulations, published at 1114 OG 29, May 15, 1990 and at 55 FR 18230, May 1, 1990.
- [ ] 2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).
- [ ] 3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
- [ ] 4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing."
- [ ] 5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).
- [ ] 6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
- [ ] 7. Other: _____

Applicant Must Provide:

- [X] An initial or substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
- [X] An initial or substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment directing its entry into the specification.
- [X] A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).

For questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:

For Rules Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216
For CRF Submission Help, call (703) 308-4212
PatentIn Software Program Support (SIRA)
Technical Assistance.....703-287-6900
To Purchase PatentIn Software.....703-306-2600

PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR RESPONSE

Part of Paper 6